

DAMOCLES: Debrisfall Assessment in Mountain Catchments for Local End-users

**James C Bathurst, DAMOCLES Project Coordinator
Water Resource Systems Research Laboratory,
School of Civil Engineering and Geosciences,
University of Newcastle upon Tyne,
Newcastle upon Tyne, NE1 7RU, UK**

1. Introduction

Debris flows and rockfalls are a familiar hazard in European mountain areas and regularly cause loss of life, livelihood and property and disruption of communications. The potential for such losses is increasing as the mountain areas are increasingly developed and insurance claims as a result of this threat are steadily rising. Further, the development itself (e.g. construction of roads and recreational areas) can increase the incidence of debris flows by changing their topographic, soil and vegetation controls [e.g. 1, 2, 3]. Changes in climate and land cover may have a similar impact. Hazard assessment is therefore increasingly required in land use planning in mountain environments and is aimed at three critical aspects: 1) the spatial distribution of debris flows, rockfalls and other slope failures; 2) predicting their occurrence and impact; and 3) minimizing the impact. However, while there is a great deal of expertise in hazard assessment at the national level, this is unevenly distributed between countries. Techniques have been developed as standards in some European countries: in Austria, for example, hazard mapping combines information on past events with geomorphological surveys [4]. However, there is no uniformity of approach in Europe and available techniques are approximate (because of lack of data) and give only qualitative or relative estimates of hazard. Further, climate change may render unreliable techniques which have been developed from past experience and conditions.

In the light of the above, DAMOCLES (Debrisfall Assessment in Mountain Catchments for Local End-userS) was conceived as a project to develop and apply new technologies for assessing the distribution of rapid slope failures and their hazard, for determining the physical impact of debris flows and, hence, for assessing the mitigating effects of torrent control works and land management, with these technologies being transferred to relevant end-users. To achieve these aims, the specific project objectives were:

- 1) To develop and apply advanced quantitative models for hazard assessment, impact prediction and mitigation studies, relevant at the local, river basin and regional scales. The models were to represent advances in their own right but, in addition, their integration was to provide a more coherent, unified and quantitative approach to hazard assessment than is currently available;
- 2) To conduct field surveys and assemble databases in support of model development and to improve mapping and data analysis techniques. Two field areas were selected in the Italian Alps and one in the Spanish Pyrenees;
- 3) To transfer the technologies to end-users and make the outcomes accessible through the public domain.

An important feature of the project is its integration of research-based model development with the direct involvement of local planning and civil protection authorities as data suppliers, advisors and recipients of the project results. In this way it aims to provide new knowledge on rapid slope failures in European mountain areas while helping to improve the efficiency and reliability of decision-making in the development of those areas.

There are six project partners from the UK, Spain and Italy, with a further six organizations associated as end-users or as a subcontractor (Table 1). The DAMOCLES project is supported by the Environment and Sustainable Development Programme of the European Commission Research Directorate General, under Contract Number EVG1-CT-1999-00007.

This paper summarizes the results of the modelling and field studies, shows how the results are integrated, describes the involvement of the end-users and lists the project deliverables.

Table 1 “DAMOCLES project partners”

PARTNER	ROLE	ASSOCIATED END-USERS
University of Newcastle upon Tyne, UK	Coordinator; Leader WP4 (Basin landslide model) and WP5 (Dissemination)	None
Università degli Studi di Milano-Bicocca, Italy	Leader WP2 (GIS hazard assessment, regional scale model and rockfall model)	Servizio Geologico della Regione Lombardia; University of Bologna (subcontractor)
Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche-Istituto di Ricerca per la Protezione Idrogeologica nell’ Italia Centrale (CNR-IRPI), Perugia, Italy	Assistant Contractor to U. Milano-Bicocca (GIS hazard assessment, regional scale model and rockfall model; DAMOCLES website)	None
Università degli Studi di Padova, Italy	Leader WP3 (Small basin debris flow model)	Servizio Azienda Speciale di Sistemazione Montana, Provincia Autonoma di Trento; Associazione Italiana di Idronomia
Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Cientificas – Instituto Pirenaico de Ecología (CSIC-IPE), Zaragoza, Spain	Leader WP1 (Field studies and debris flow relationships)	Diputación General de Aragón, Zaragoza (Dirección General de Ordenación del Territorio y Urbanismo; Dirección General de Política Interior y Administración Local)
Instituto Geológico y Minero de España	End-user and Assistant Contractor to CSIC-IPE (Application of project models)	None

WP : The project work is divided into five workpackages as shown

2. Field Studies and Debris Flow Relationships

Field data have been collected in the Central Spanish Pyrenees (the 867-km² flysch geological sector of the upper Aragón and Gallego river basins and the 300-km² Benasque valley), in the Lombardy alpine and pre-alpine area of Italy and in the Trento-Veneto-Bolzano alpine region of Italy. The field programme underpins the DAMOCLES project in four important ways.

- 1) As our theoretical understanding of debris flow processes is still limited in some areas, field data are needed to support empirical, or semi-empirical, developments in debris flow modelling. This is particularly so for such key features as debris flow volume and runout distance. Thus, analysis of 64 debris flows in the flysch sector of the Central Pyrenees shows that deposition begins to occur at a higher slope gradient (about 18°) than is generally reported for other sites in the literature and runout distances are then relatively longer than for other sites. Analysis of data from northeastern Italy similarly quantifies debris flow volume as a function of catchment area, stream gradient and geology but with different coefficients and exponents from other such formulae in the literature [5]. In other words the format of the derived relationships is in line with other studies but the differences indicate regional variation and a continuing need to carry out site specific field studies. One of the project outcomes is a report on Debris Flow Relationships.
- 2) Field data are needed to test and improve models. Within DAMOCLES, data relevant to the four main project models have been collected: for regional scale hazard assessment modelling in Lombardy and the Benasque valley; for small basin debris flow impact modelling in northeastern Italy and the Benasque valley; for basin scale sediment yield modelling in Lombardy and the Central Pyrenees; and for rockfall modelling in Lombardy.
- 3) Field data can be used to characterize the specific field area and to provide information useful for planners and land managers. For example, discriminant analysis has defined the main factors controlling debris flow occurrence in the flysch sector of the Central Pyrenees: this information could be used, for example, in protecting the more vulnerable areas from unsuitable land development programmes. Looking similarly at the rate of occurrence of debris flows in the same area, analysis has shown that rainfall has more influence than land use change.
- 4) The field programme provides an opportunity to improve and standardize mapping and data analysis techniques. A range of thematic maps have been produced, including geomorphological characteristics and hazard probability. Similarly, a standard form for recording debris flow characteristics has been implemented. Much of this output is held on the project website (<http://damocles.irpi.pg.cnr.it>).

3. Regional Scale Hazard Assessment

The particular requirement at the regional scale (hundreds to thousands of square kilometres) is to determine the spatial distribution of areas susceptible to debris flows and to quantify that susceptibility. Because of the large areas involved, the assessment technique should be limited to using generally available data (such as Digital Elevation Models and soil, vegetation and geology maps). Detailed field data (such as landslide inventories and soil

property data) should not be required except for initial calibration of the technique in a limited area. A statistical multivariate model is therefore proposed as the principal DAMOCLES technology, combining relative simplicity of concept with quantitative output and the capability of extending to the regional scale. Discriminant analysis is used to identify the main factors that contribute to the triggering of debris flows (e.g. land use and geology). Regression analysis between these factors and observations of debris flow occurrence then provides a model for predicting the spatial probability of debris flow occurrence as a function of the factors. This is likely to be constructed initially for a relatively small area with the necessary debris flow inventory. Application of the model within a GIS which maps the factors then enables a debris flow susceptibility map to be generated at the regional scale. Test applications of this technique have been carried out for Lecco province (Lombardy) (Fig. 1) and the Central Pyrenees.

Assessment of the technique is being carried out by comparison with field observations (aerial photographs covering the period 1954-1995 in the case of the Valsassina basin in Lecco province) and with physically based modelling approaches. For example, using Valsassina as the test area, three different grid-based distributed hydrological models were coupled with an infinite slope stability analysis and applied to the rainfall event of 27-28 June 1997 (which triggered a series of landslides). Using automatically generated main slope units as a common basis for representing spatial distribution, the probability of landslide occurrence in each unit given by the statistical model can be compared with the percentage of that unit which was unstable according to the physically based models. The information built up on model compatibilities in this way will be important when it comes to integrating the different models in pursuit of objectives which cannot be achieved by one model on its own (as discussed later).

Further assessment of the statistical technical concerns the method of representing spatial distribution. The geomorphological unit, such as a small basin, (used in Italy) is being compared with the pixel (a convenient approach, used in Spain).

4. Small Basin Debris Flow Impact Model

The regional scale hazard assessment indicates the extent to which an area is at risk from debris flows but does not quantify the effect that a debris flow would have if it occurred. A numerical model has therefore been developed to make such predictions. It is applicable at the scale of a small catchment, typically up to 10 km² in area, containing a mountain torrent channel linked to a fan, and represents the channel and fan in two components.

With a user-supplied debris flow hydrograph as its input at the upstream end of the channel, the model routes the debris flow down the channel to the fan using a one-dimensional scheme called MODDS (Model One Dimensional Debris-flow Surges). This combines simplicity and a user-friendly design with an innovative ability to account for the effect of structures such as check dams and bridges, constrictions in channel cross section and overbank flow. A test comparison has shown good agreement with the well-known DAMBRK model [6] for the case of non-Newtonian fluids (i.e. debris flows) and a successful validation has been carried out for the Rio Lenzi channel (Autonomous Province of Trento, Italy). Necessary data for the simulation include a detailed survey of the channel.

A two-dimensional model component represents debris flow propagation and sedimentation on the fan area (DDPM, Debris Flow Distribution Propagation Model). The fan is represented by a grid of square cells: transfer of debris flow material from one cell to another occurs under conditions of either uniform flow as a function of gradient or flow over a broad-crested weir. A successful test of DDPM has been completed for the debris flow deposit of 4 November 1966 on the fan of the Rio Lazer (Eastern Trento Province) (Fig. 2). Necessary data for the simulation include a high-precision survey of the fan topography.

Integration of MODDS, DDPM and a Digital Terrain Model for the fan forms the overall Debris Flow Impact Model, DEFLIMO. The integration is carried out using the ArcView GIS framework, which enables the one-dimensional channel model (based on vector elements) to be linked with the two-dimensional fan model (based on raster cells). The component models can be run independently or integrated together.

DEFLIMO improves upon existing impact assessment techniques such as the Aulitzky index [4] in that it accounts for obstructions to debris flow movement along the channel and provides a quantitative and time-varying simulation of debris flow propagation and deposition on the fan.

Within the DAMOCLES project, DEFLIMO is being tested at sites in the Italian Alps and in the Spanish Pyrenees.

5. Basin Scale Landslide Sediment Yield

In addition to predicting the local impact of a debris flow (i.e. on the fan), there is interest in the effects of debris flows at larger basin scales. In particular the contribution of debris flows to sediment yield is important in basins large enough to feed reservoirs. The existing SHETRAN landslide erosion and sediment yield model is therefore being applied to model debris flow spatial and temporal occurrence and impact on basin sediment yield, for scenarios of possible future land use and climate. The scenario results will be used to develop guidelines for land management to mitigate debris flow occurrence and impact, for focus areas in the Italian pre-Alps (Valsassina) and Spanish Pyrenees (Ijuez). SHETRAN is a physically-based, spatially distributed modelling system for water flow and sediment transport, valid at the basin scale [7]. Its landslide component takes soil moisture data from the hydrological model to simulate shallow landslide occurrence using infinite slope (factor of safety) analysis and transfers the landslide material to the channel network as a debris flow [8]. SHETRAN then routes this material to the basin outlet using its channel sediment transport component. The relationships used in the model to simulate the transfer of material by debris flow are being modified and enhanced using the results of the field studies. Typically the landslide model is applicable to basins up to about 500 km².

Field visits to the Valsassina and Ijuez focus areas were carried out in 2001 to collect soil property data, to measure channel dimensions and sediment characteristics and to be familiarized with the areas. The necessary time series and property data have been assembled and used to create the files for running SHETRAN. The data include precipitation and evaporation records, runoff records, topographic, soil and vegetation maps and landslide inventories. Many of the time series data required treatment to fill gaps in the records and, in the case of the precipitation data, to be converted from daily to hourly values.

Currently validation of the SHETRAN hydrology model is in progress and application of the landslide component has not yet begun. However, an initial validation of the landslide model has been completed for the November 1982 landsliding event in the 500-km² Llobregat basin in the eastern Spanish Pyrenees, in a study originally started in the earlier EC MEDALUS project. Because of uncertainty in evaluating the model parameters and other inputs, the aim is not to reproduce the observed occurrence of debris flows as exactly as possible with one simulation but to bracket the observed pattern with several simulations. The results demonstrate both this ability (Fig. 3), based on realistic uncertainty bounds in the model parameters, and the ability to determine basin sediment yield within the range of regional observations. The Llobregat validation has provided important experience which will benefit the Valsassina and Ijuez simulations.

6. Integration of Modelling Approaches

The above three modelling approaches are summarized in Table 2. They provide complementary outputs and their integration both provides a more coherent approach to debris flow hazard assessment and widens the applicability of each, compared with using them separately. Table 3 summarizes their integration. Because the regional hazard assessment model is essentially based on a correlation between recorded debris flow occurrence and geomorphological and land use characteristics, its relevance is limited to the climatic conditions characterizing the period of record. If the climate changes, the model correlation

Table 2 "The DAMOCLES models"

WORK PACKAGE	WP2	WP3	WP4
MODEL TYPE	Discriminant analysis	Numerical model	Numerical model
MODEL OUTPUT	Regional hazard assessment map (debris flow probability)	Local debris flow impact as function of debris flow characteristics	Basin scale sediment yield impact as function of climate and land use

Table 3 "Integration of the DAMOCLES models"

MODEL	ROLE IN INTEGRATED APPLICATION
Regional hazard assessment	Provides hazard map based on correlation between recorded debris flow occurrence and geomorphological and land use characteristics (for past climate)
Local debris flow impact	Can investigate in detail the hazard at sites of interest, including sites identified in the regional scale map
Basin scale impact	Can investigate landslide erosion and sediment yields for climate and land use scenarios, including revised basis for regional hazard assessment for future altered conditions

may no longer apply. SHETRAN, however, by virtue of its physical basis, can investigate patterns of debris flow occurrence for possible scenarios of future climate and land use. It can

therefore provide a new “virtual ground truth” of debris flow occurrence, which can be used to calibrate the hazard assessment model is also limited to providing the probability of occurrence of debris flows. The small basin debris flow impact model therefore provides the means of investigating in detail the hazard (in terms of sediment deposition) at sites identified from the regional scale analysis as requiring attention (e.g. where it is planned to build a road of new infrastructure). Similarly SHETRAN can be used to predict the basin scale sediment yield as a function of debris flow occurrence (e.g. to determine reservoir sedimentation).

7. Rockfall Model

The computer model STONE has been developed for the simulation of rockfall trajectories in three dimensions. This uses generally available thematic data and GIS technology to generate simple maps useful for assessing rockfall hazard at scales ranging from the hillslope to the region. STONE simulates rock movement by free fall, bouncing and rolling. The trajectories are calculated as a function of the starting point, topography and coefficients used for determining loss of velocity in impacts or in rolling. It is acknowledged that evaluation of these coefficients involves uncertainty and a capability is therefore provided for random evaluation within a user-specified range. Further capability for accounting for natural variability is provided by allowing more than one boulder to be launched from a site. Output includes frequency of rockfall, three-dimensional display of rockfall trajectories and information such as velocity of fall and height of the trajectory above the ground (Fig. 4).

Accurate calibration of the model parameters has been successfully accomplished using thematic maps and a database of observed rockfalls. Model performance has also been investigated at different spatial scales and with different data availabilities within the 600-km² Lecco province in the Lombardy pre-Alps, in an area in the Apennines in central Italy and in Yosemite Valley, California. A rockfall hazard map has been produced for Lecco province, which could be useful in planning protection measures.

8. Integration of End-users

The end-users (regional planning authorities and geological surveys) have an important role to play in DAMOCLES. On the one hand they have contributed advice to the project, helping to ensure that the technologies being developed have practical relevance and will fit their needs. On the other, by receiving the project technologies, they will be the primary route by which these technologies will enter the public domain and be used in practice. Familiarity with use of the technologies is being achieved through participation in applications and through a training course. In particular ten end-user trainees are attending a training course on the small basin debris flow model and the regional scale hazard assessment model in Padova and Milan in September 2002. Two workshops for publicising the project results amongst a wider audience are being held at Zaragoza (Spain) and Milan (Italy) during 2002. Some of the end-users are also data suppliers.

9. Project Deliverables

Table 4 lists the contracted project deliverables. These are being made available as reports, published papers or entries on the project website (<http://damocles.irpi.pg.cnr.it>)

Table 4 “DAMOCLES Deliverables”

WORKPACKAGE	DELIVERABLE
WP1 Field studies and debris flow relationships	D1 Debris flow relationships and database
	D2 Debris flow maps and mapping procedures
WP2 Regional scale hazard assessment	D3 Debris flow and rockfall database for GIS
	D4 Hazard and risk assessment technology
	D5 Review of rockfall and granular flow models
WP3 Small basin debris flow model	D6 Debris flow database for impact model
	D7 Debris flow impact model
WP4 Basin scale landslide modelling	D8 Debris flow impact scenario simulations
	D9 Guidelines for basin land management
WP5 Dissemination of project deliverables	D10 End-users trained in project technologies
	D11 Project technologies in public domain
	D12 Proposal for standard approach to zonation




Figure 1 “Example of a Discriminant Model of Debris Flow Source Areas, Lecco Area, Lombardy, Italy. (Diagram Provided by Giovanni Crosta, University of Milan-Bicocca)”




Figure 2 “Debris Flow Depth Accumulation on the Rio Lazer Fan Simulated with the DDPM. (Diagram provided by Mario Lenzi, University of Padova)”




Figure 3 “Comparison of Uncertainty Bounds for SHETRAN Simulation (Upper Diagrams) with Observed Locations (Lower Diagram) of Landslides in the Llobregat Basin. Landslide Locations Are Shown as Dots. (Diagram Provided by Aidan Burton, University of Newcastle upon Tyne)”




Figure 4 “Example of Application of the STONE Rockfall Model. (Diagram Provided by Fausto Guzzetti, CNR-IRPI, Perugia)”

References

- [1] SIMONS, P. (1988). Après ski le deluge. *New Scientist*. 14 January, 49-52.
- [2] GARCIA-RUIZ, J.M. & VALERO, B. (1998). Historical geomorphic processes and human activities in the central Spanish Pyrenees. *Mountain Research and Development*. Volume 18(4), 309-320.
- [3] WASOWSKI, J. (1998). Understanding rainfall-landslide relationships in man-modified environments: a case-history from Caramanico Terme, Italy. *Environmental Geology*. Volume 35(2-3), 197-209.
- [4] AULITZKY, H. (1994). Hazard mapping and zoning in Austria: methods and legal implications. *Mountain Research and Development*. Volume 14(4), 307-313.
- [5] D’AGOSTINO, V. & MARCHI, L. (2001). Debris flow magnitude in the eastern Italian Alps: data collection and analysis. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth. Part C*, Volume 26(9), 657-663.
- [6] SINGH, V.P. (1996). *Dam Breach Modeling Technology*. Kluwer, Dordrecht, Netherlands, pp. 169-174.
- [7] EWEN, J., PARKIN, G. & O’CONNELL, P.E. (2000). SHETRAN: distributed river basin flow and transport modeling system. *Proceedings of the American Society of Civil Engineers, Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*. Volume 5, 250-258.
- [8] BURTON, A. & BATHURST, J.C. (1998). Physically based modelling of shallow landslide sediment yield at a catchment scale. *Environmental Geology*. Volume 35(2-3), 89-99.